

United States Patent and Trademark Office

DR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,055	09/28/2001	Jerald C. Seelig	619.438 ACC.UA-Heads	4911
21707	7590 01/13/2004		EXAMI	INER
IAN F. BURNS & ASSOCIATES 1575 DELUCCHI LANE, SUITE 222			WHITE, CARMEN D	
RENO, NV 89502			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3714	17
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	ı <i>('</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
;	0.00	09/967,055	SEELIG ET AL.			
*	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carmen D. White	3714			
Period f	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Persions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. TO SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Per period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period under the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 C	October 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>13-30 and 40-61</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 31-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
a) * 13)⊡	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the fire	ats have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the control of the certified copies not received the certified copies not received. It of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 17	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 19(e) (to a provisional application)			
; 14)□	and a specific reference was included in the fig. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language processes the foreign language processes and the first sentence of	rovisional application has been tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachme	nt(s)					
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-7, 12, 31, 35-36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Orr* (GB2169737A) in view of *Baerlocher* et al (6,315,664).

Regarding claims 1, 5-7, 12, 31, 35-36 and 39, Orr and Baerlocher teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed in the previous office action, paper #14 (09/11/03), which is incorporated herein by reference.

Claims 2-4, 8-11, 32-34 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Orr* (GB2169737A) in view of *Baerlocher* et al (6,315,664), further in view of *Gutknecht* (5,154,420).

Regarding claims 2-4, 8-11, 32-34 and 37-38, Orr, Baerlocher and Gutknecht teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed in the previous office action, paper #14 (09/11/03), which is incorporated herein by reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-30 and 40-61 are allowed.

Reasons for allowance was recited in the last office action, paper #14 (09/11/03), which is incorporated herein by reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,055

Art Unit: 3714

Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

The examiner appreciates the interview conducted with Applicants and Applicant's representatives on October 14, 2003. The examiner has reconsidered the instant claim limitations discussed in the interview and reiterated in Applicant's current response (paper #15).

Applicant argues that Orr does not teach *two possible symbols* as the instant claimed invention. However, the examiner disagrees. Orr teaches the use of two cards, which the examiner has interpreted as two symbols. Further, Applicant argues that Orr does not teach a bonus game where *each outcome is determined by the symbol that is displayed*. Again, the examiner disagrees with Applicant. The outcome of the Orr gaming system is determined by the symbol that is displayed. Depending on the color of the symbol displayed the outcome is varied. Finally, Applicant argues that Baerlocher does not entitle a player to a predefined payout *depending on a number of similar outcomes displayed*. The examiner disagrees. Baerlocher teaches that depending on the player choosing symbols with the binary outcomes of success or failure, the player receives a payout. For instance, the player is entitled to a predefined payout of the accumulation of credits depending on a number of chosen similar success indicator outcomes displayed (col. 3, lines 1-7).

The claim language of instant claims 1-12 and 21-39 is quite broad. The examiner understands that Applicant's disclosed invention is that of a coin toss, heads or tails, game. However, the instant claim language of the independent claims is not specific to this type of game. The examiner asserts, as the claim language is currently

Application (Control Num

Art Unit: 3714

written, the instant claimed invention of the currently rejected claims is not distinct from that of Orr and Baerlocher

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

cdw

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5